


In The Name of Allah



Mega Redacted  
27 September 02

Zacarias Moussawi v US.  
Muyahid v Crusader


REPLY TO THE UNDER SEAL OPEN  
LIES OF DUNHAM & CO.

Motion to force Dunham to silence

REDACTED

[REDACTED] Hypocrisy and deception are the trade mark of Frank Dunham. In his reply to my motion to stop him playing the superstar Dunham says "Moussawi points to no specific comment or disclosure to the media by standardly counsel".

This is the most cynical and disgusting argument one could make taking account that I am prevented to watch news, listen to radio and receive newspapers. Therefore I have to rely on "eavesdrop" on deputies conversation, Also in a



US  
accuse that government "conspiracy"  
about Benham media frequent exposure.  
No to forget that a "Deep throat" confession  
to me that Benham and the Nation were  
maximizing their exposure ~~maximizing~~.

The Court is perfectly aware of the fact.  
that the SMC stop me to get accurate information  
therefore it is hypocritical to ask me to  
supply article or video of Benham clear  
misconduct.

The Court has the ability to easily ascertain  
or disprove my knowledge of Benham  
can be supported by video Benham  
to search and compile a history of his  
media exposure since he took part in the  
conspiracy to kill me. This should cover  
a few newspapers (Washington Post, Time,  
New York Times, & Times Magazine)  
and some TV (CNN, ABC, NBC, CBC, FOX).  
In a series that a simple search on the internet  
with Benham a Mousaoui will provide  
more than enough to convince any honest  
person that Benham is exploiting my death  
penalty to become famous. Frankly who  
new him before 9/11?

Taking account that the Jury is in the Public any misrepresentation or "my lawyer" will completely undermine my ability to defend myself.

Jessica will think

"Wait a minute, he has a lawyer on TV, so why does he want to defend himself?"

Finally the Dunham argument about Norfolk case and Public Defender duty to inform are the most evocative you can find. Who is going to believe that lawyers go on TV to defend a ~~the~~ fundamentalist.

Leanne Beunkema you have access to the media and you know that Dunham is expecting my death penalty and this goes against my interest to have a large public exposure so to have the reason of fair trial (By the way what is true for Dunham is even more for McMahon and the rest

Stories of ALCAH.

→ McMahon is probably correct than Dunham but one at the time.