


In The Name of Allah



In the United States District Court  
For the Eastern District of Virginia  
Alexandria Division.


United States of America }  
v }  
Zaccarias Maussacou }

Case No. 01-955-A

Motion For change of Venue and the reduction  
in the over representation of government employees  
in the potential Jury pool.

To set expedited hearing schedule.

Allahu Akbar, I, Slave of Allah,  
Zaccarias Maussacou, by self representation  
for very rational vital reasons set in the  
memorandum accompanying this motions  
move against this US Virginia Court for  
immediate order directing that My case should  
be moved to a "more neutral" location, such  
as Denver Colorado, to ensure a greater  
feeling of personal <sup>safety</sup> for the Jury and therefore  
reduce slightly the level of hostility and  
the increase the ability to make rational decision  
for the Jury. And to have a Jury pool without  
over representation of loyal government employees  
(continued at the back.)



Slave of Allah, Zaccarias Moussaoui  
rejects the current process of Jury selection.  
in which the greedy cynical driven  
Nunham, Jewish Zerkin, Mc Malon  
are involved at the moment.  
The acceptance of this Venue go against  
my most fundamental vital interest, As  
everybody know, ~~that~~ the government move  
from N-Y to Virginia was to ensure the  
Death penalty.

Their endorsement of this location without  
any challenge to the government is a flagrant  
proof of their motivation to keep the case for  
financial and publicity reason and show  
the highest level of hypocrisy toward my  
welfare.

(Reading of the Data before the Venue is  
advice).

(The Northern Virginia Survey is an  
integral part of this Motion, of course,  
so it must not be suppress).

## Argument.

2116

As the United States Government as indicated clearly in its indictment seeking my Death. the victim and current potential target are United State employees.

Therefore it is normal to limit the number and the over representation of potential victim in the Jury pool and ultimately in the Jury selection.

The United States Government declared in September a Crusade against Muslim. (see Daily News Paper, NY, few day after Sept. 11) Therefore its employees are legitimately the Crusaders.

In order to inject some sense in this inquisition style Judicial process, this courts must move the current process to a location where the representation of the Crusaders will be in line with their national representation.

It is beyond dispute for sound minded people (whatever their religion) that: "the constitutional standard of fairness requires that a defendant have a panel of impartial, indifferent Jurors"

← *Murphy v Florida* (1961)

The Sixth Amendment reads as follows  
" in all criminal prosecution, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, by an impartial jury of the state and district where in the crime shall have been committed ... "

the alleged crime in this case ~~was~~ overreact spreading all over the US and according to the government, is ongoing. So any location can and should be considered.

the example of ~~the~~ " Timothy McVeigh should cut any hypocritical argument.

Moreover in *Duncan v Louisiana* the Supreme Court noted that:

" the Jury trial clause was clearly intended to protect the accused from oppression by the government "  
and therefore government employees.

the fundamental US right to an impartial Jury " guaranteed by the Sixth Amendment also necessarily includes a jury composed of the defenders peers " *Brown v Kelly* 1992  
I am sure that the government will be delighted to bring 12 Talebans from Cuba!

In *Duncan v Louisiana*, the US Court 3116 understood:

"Proceeding an accused with the right to be tried by a Jury of his peer gave him an inestimable safeguard against the

CORRUPT or OVERZALOUS prosecutor and against the COMPLAINT, BIASED or ECCENTRIC JUDGE"

They did not win for my case, the GREEDY CYNICAL APPOINTED LAWYERS.

Not to forget that I am sure that 12 US jurors will be delighted to have a Sony like me.

In *Crowford v US* (1909), the Supreme Court held that:

"a Jury composed of government employees where the government was a party to the case on trial would not in the least conduce to respect for, or belief in, the fairness of the system of trial by Jury" (by the way I do not have any belief in this system in the first place) This was overruled by states, but the concept is still valid in my case because I do not seek (for the moment, of course) the complete elimination of government employees. I am only fighting their over representation in the potential Jury pool

compare to their representation in ~~the~~ a random cross section of the US population. As it is well known that ~~a~~ this career has probably the highest % of government employees in the US.

Basically, it is one thing to be unfairly judge by hostile American, but it is much more difficult to be lynched by government potential victim employees.

It is without a doubt reasonable to assume ~~and~~ that any Jew who proclaims that he does not feel bias ~~or~~ toward me. and <sup>or</sup> my belief is either a lie or a fool therefore he should be dismissed for perjury or mental incapacity. In ~~the~~ a similar way the Court in *Fraser* point out:

"Impartiality is not a technical conception, it is a state of mind. For the ascertainment of this mental attitude of appropriate indifference, the Constitution lays no particular tests and procedure is not chain to any ancient and artificial formula".  
Moreover the Court in *Fraser* states:

"the bias of a perspective juror may be actual or implied; that is it may be in fact or bias conclusively presumed as a matter of law".

that "bias as a matter of law" as the Court in *Frazier* explained "might be thought implicitly to arise" in view of the nature or circumstances of the juror's employment or of the relation of the particular governmental activity to the matters involved in the prosecution or otherwise. Indeed, as in the Court in *Crowford* noted, under common law a person was deemed incompetent to serve as a juror in a lawsuit in which his or her employer was party."

(the same should be true for lawyer appointed by the government) ..

It should be said, that US Justice Jackson who is patently a fanatically mecreant sympathiser and an experience and esteemed litigator and former government, including US Solicitor General, as well as prosecutor at Nuremberg, urged that "the court deserves and requires a more neutral jury for its own appearances, even if defendant does not deserve and cannot demand one"

(I am sure that DJ Brumena might agree at least with the final parts of this statement)

this need for a "neutral" jury is further stressed by super meek and fanatical potential sympathiser, US Justice Jackson

"prosecutor seldom fail to stress, if not exaggerate the importance of the case before them to the whole social, if not the cosmic order" (Jackson, J. dissenting).

Justice Brand (with a name like that it might be a sympathiser?) - adolored in this subject:

"The Court's decision to mean that government employees may be barred for implied bias when circumstances are properly brought to the court's attention, which convince the court that government employee would not be suitable juror in a particular case" (indeed he is a super meek and fanatical potential sympathiser). (Brand J. concurring).

Here I need to remind this court that I am not seeking the exclusion of all US government only the adjustment with their representation in the general population (or less of course).



US Justice Jackson noted that.

"the right to a fair trial is the right that stands guardian over all other right."

(having no US right to effective assistance of counsel, no right to a complete defense or no US right of Due Process, maybe I do not have a guardian.

WRONG. ALLAH is The GUARDIAN of my life.)

Justice Black in his Dissent in Dennis stated "to say that employees of the United States could meet objective test of complete impartiality in the trial of cases like this is to disregard human nature"

(It is true that some people believe that we came <sup>from</sup> Monkey, that certainly why I was appointed 3 government employees.)

I sincerely believe that the next Judge, that I greatly need to undergo a psychiatric evaluation (if alive of course!)

"at times, usually after dramatic and publicized exposures, members of these groups have been brought to trial for some offense. I think that none of them at such times <sup>ever</sup> ~~ever~~ should be forced to defend.

to defend themselves against the Government's accusations before the Government's employees" (If he had added government appointed lawyer he will definitely ~~be~~ ~~be~~ crazy).  
By the way that ~~thing~~ was from Justice Jackson or US Solicitor ~~General~~ (indeed US government hire some very strange people).

Here come another one, US Justice Frankfurter say "If a particular group no matter what its belief, is under pressure of POPULAR HOSTILITY, exclusion of potential jurors peculiarly susceptible to such pressure is not an expression of regard for political opinions but recognition by the law of ~~the law~~ the fact of life."  
It is often the case that if somebody cannot handle a situation, then she (or he) choose denial (or become violent) to cope with ~~with~~ the fact of life.

The remedy (or medication) as US Justice Frankfurter in his dissent in Dennis stated "to take appropriate measure in order to avert injustice even toward a member of a despised group is to enforce justice."  
~~Considering~~ Considering that you try to prevent me to defend my life, the all process is quite disgusting.

6/16

## Special Argument for District Judge Leonie Brinkema

Going back to the hearing relating to scheduling of this American show trial, US Judge Brinkema indicated ~~to~~ her confidence in the Jury selection of by referring to the Mill case which occurred during September 2001. US District Judge Brinkema led many ~~made~~ people to believe (including me, the so called appointed lawyer) that the Jury was impartial despite having to deal with a Muslim in difficult time for the US.

This was a gross misrepresentation (even according to Jewish Zechin) because the victim was the Muslim and the Murderer was spare the Death Penalty despite some damning evidence and was an American. No wonder why the Jury was "Impartial".

Therefore, it seem prudent ~~to~~ for me to ascertain a few points relating to Jury selection.

US Chief Justice Marshall in identifying the pervasiveness of a bias that is not even consciously stated,

2. A person<sup>in</sup> " may declare that he feel no prejudice in the case and yet the law cautiously incapacitates him from serving on the jury because it respects prejudice because in general person in a similar situation would feel prejudice "

Is it Marshall or the law who is paranoid? what about " cautiously incapacitate some greedy lawyers " ( Zacarias Moussawi in Bolesent )

In my case <sup>thing</sup> are much more direct, around 60% of potential jurors have stated that I was either definitely guilty or probably guilty. ( Northern Virginia Survey

Frankfurter stated :

" the reason for disqualifying a whole class on the ground of bias is the law's recognition that if the circumstances of that class in the run of instances are likely to generate bias consciously or unconsciously, it would be hopeless endeavor to search out the impact of these circumstances on the mind and judgment of a particular individual "

Frankfurter J dissenting

You will be looking for the proverbial needle ( impartiality ) when you know that it does not exist in this case.

## Direct Action.

→ To relocate the trial in Denver Colorado

It is well known that Denver Colorado is recognized in the general public as a safe secure location (the presence of the highest Maximum Security Jail in US, ~~wherever~~ make it difficult for the government to argue otherwise. Moreover the feeling of personal safety cannot be artificially injected by for example placing Postrat missile on the Alexandria Courthouse.

It will only increase the current general hysteria, to the delight of the government. And no doubt that the high altitude and the fresh air will bring back some sense of sanity. (maybe?)

• To ensure that the new location do have a level of ~~of~~ government employ not higher than the National average ~~per~~ (or less)

• No to be located in the scene of a recent anti government or American attack. (New York, Oklahoma, and etc---)

## Clarification on Related Issue

It did not escape me, by the grace of Allah that a slight ambiguity could be exploited by some appointee, namely that on one hand I ask for a change of venue, on the basis of Jewish bias mainly due to their employment and geographical location. And on the other I expressed the need to have a trial by a Judge, who is after all, the most fervent and loyal supporter of the State. Hence I want to precise that I do not intend to give a deep and comprehensive explanation, I will close briefly the potential argument.

First, I do not have any guaranty to be a trial by a Judge.

Second despite having the same potential hostile attitude from a Judge (which by now I am convince). I think, but my current experience make me wonder, that a Judge in theory has a greater ability to understand the case. Moreover the government will have to be more careful in the use of their crude tactic.

And as anybody could understand, it is the choice of the lesser evil.

Finally I have to say that the rest of the argument in the all nation is still valid for a Judge, because what apply to the general somehow apply to the particular.

A Judge in Colorado might feel less afraid, ~~and~~ more confident and less pressurized that a Judge in the  
Rochester Docket.

## CONCLUSION.

Fear and prejudice are not the base of any Justice. Whether given by a Judge or a Juror.

Therefore it is my interest to tell to Destruct Judge Leonie Boukema to come back to some kind of professional senses, and to stop interfering with the defense of my life immediately

Last word belong to ALLAH.

"Verily! ALLAH command that you should render back the trusts to those to whom they are due; and that when you judge between men, you judge with justice. Verily how excellent is the teaching which he, ALLAH, give you! Truly ALLAH is Ever All-Hearer, All-Seeing.

Slaves of ALLAH!

أبو خالد الصيرفي

Zacarias Mauxaxaxi Ihuu Omar Mauxaxaxi  
Ihuu Salvaaxaxi.



10/16

Northern Virginia Survey.

" They deceive ALLAH and those who believe while they only deceive themselves and perceive it not!

In their heart is a disease and ALLAH has increased their disease. A painful torment is their because they used to lies."   
Coran, Surah 2 Al Baqarah, Ayat 9-10.

The following extracts of a survey of the district where the jury will be chosen, do not require much of an explanation. (if it was need in the first place.)

Probably because Mc Veigh was a Christian they did not judge him in Oklahoma.

Thanks ALLAH I am a Muslim, that why this persecution deny the most elementary aspect of a Fair Fight.

By bringing me at walking distance of the Pentagon and in area famous for its devotion to the government.

Bush / Ashcroft have ~~extreme~~ improve their betting ratios for my Death.

They ingeniously succeeded to side line this very important issue by paralyzing my defense by imposing:

an Ex government Beasectee. (Dunkan  
Jewish Zealot (Zeekin of course)  
Right wing Racist (Mc Mahon).

Any lawyer on receiving this seevey, will have  
immediately fell for a change of Venue.  
But your enemy donat take any chance.

US District Leonie Brambena falsly claim  
that they have experience. That an example  
of their experience in helping the government  
to convict me.

The fact that they openly endorse the jury  
selection process shows that they had no  
intention ever to contest the venue.

For them ~~them~~ a change of Venue mean  
no money, no fame. For the government,  
reduce prospect for death and for-

District Judge Brambena: embarrassment  
to have chosen and maintain good all forced  
when the reality dictated otherwise, not to  
forget reduce prospect to become Supreme

As early as last week I told Fome Neimen  
Dunkan to raise the issue to the US Judge  
Brambena, He told me that it was a  
tactical secret. He probably wanted to keep  
it as a secret weapon for the last demency  
plea to Bush before the gay chamber

11/16

Taking account that they know that I will never be a compulsive killer, AIIAH forbid, it become clear that the case is being decided right now!

If they keep me isolated with this horde of blood suckers (Zerkin, Dunham, Mc Mahon) my prospect of life is and well greatly reduce (hey very rational) Fortunately, by the grace of AIIAH.

I am a Muslim and I will:

"Fight against them so that AIIAH will punish them by your hand and disgrace them and give you victory over them and heal the breasts of the believing people".  
Quran. Surah 9. Taubah - Ayat 14.

PS: I, Zacarias Moessacari, ~~and~~ ~~can~~ denounce the retention of the Northern Survey by the Death Team (Dunham, Jew Zerkin, Mc Mahon). Having only one copy of it and no ability to duplicate it, it is incumbent on these people to make it available to the Judge and the public at large.

This survey is roughly 2 months old.  
Opinion must have improve since ~~last week~~ this week.

Q 58 - Given the media attention to event surrounding the September 11<sup>th</sup> attack do you believe that it would be more likely that the defendant, Mr. Moussawi would receive a fair trial if it were held outside of this area?

Yes	37%
Maybe / Not Sure	14%
No	48%
Refused	1%

At least 51% of local have some doubt about the confidence of District Judge Brumbach on the suitability of this area for having a "fair" trial.

Q 59 - Given the event of September 11<sup>th</sup> and the fact that the Pentagon was a target in the September 11<sup>th</sup> attack, do you believe that it would be difficult for jurors in your community to be fair to a Muslim defendant who is charged with committing acts of terrorism against the US government and its citizens?

Yes	44%
Maybe / Not Sure	15%
No	40%
Refused	1%

59% believe that my religious Islamic belief will play a role in this trial, so maybe Brumbach will stop preventing me to hire my MUSLIM lawyer

Q 46. Based on what you have heard about this case, in terms of the charges that Zacarias Moussaoui conspired to commit acts of terrorism, air piracy and murder in connection to the September 11<sup>th</sup> attack do you believe that Zacarias Moussaoui is:

Definitely guilty	16%
Probably guilty or	43%
<del>Probably</del> <del>Probably</del> not guilty	1%
Definitely not guilty	1%
Don't know / No opinion	28%
Not aware of case	11%
Refuse	1%

So really, 16% + 43% will be known as guilty in my face just before sitting on the Jury box.

Q 49 Have you seen, read or heard about Moussaoui wanting to learn how to steer an aircraft but not wanting to learn to take off and ~~the~~ land the aircraft

Yes	51%
Maybe / not sure	11%
No	30%
Refused	1%
Not aware	1%

Do you know the one who said that you can invent any lie, if you repeat loud enough people will believe?

Q 25, In your opinion, how likely is it that more terrorist attacks will be carried out against major US cities building or national landmark in the near future?

Very likely	43%
Somewhat likely	43%
Not Very likely	9%
Not at all likely	2%
Don't know	3%
Refused	1%

" Say: " Tell me if this torment should come to you by night or by day, which parton thereof would the Disbeliever hasten on?  
Caran, Surah Yunus 10 ayat 50.

Question 26

How concerned are you that terrorist will commit acts of violence near where you live or work?

Very concerned	27%
Somewhat concerned	43%
Not concerned	28%
Don't know	2%
Refused	0%

" Fear them is a torment in the life of this world and and certainly, harder is the torment of the Hereafter. And they have no Protector against ALLAH.  
Caran. Surah 13, An Ra'd Ayat 39.

Q 90 - If Mr Moussaoui is convicted of the conspiracy to commit act of terrorism, air piracy and murder charges against him, jurors would have to choose between between two sentencing options, the death penalty or life imprisonment without possibility of release. If he is convicted of these charges, which penalty do you believe Mr Moussaoui should receive

Life imprisonment without possibility of release or.	27%
The death penalty	49%
Don't know	10%
Refused	2%
Not aware	11%

" And it is He who gives life and causes death ~~is~~, and this is the alterations of night and ~~causes~~ day. Will you not then understand  
Coran, Surah 23, Al Mu'min, Ayat 8.

Q 97 Has anyone you talked to expressed the opinion that Zacarias Moussaoui is guilty of the crimes charged.

Yes	29%
Maybe / Not sure	5%
No	60%
Refuse	1%
Not aware of case	11%

" Hatred hatred has already appeared from their mouth  
Coran Surah u<sup>o</sup> 3 Ayat 118

Q 56 - How concerned are you that terrorist would commit acts of violence directed at or near the courthouse where Mr Moussaoui would be tried?

Very concerned	24%
Somewhat concerned	51%
Not concerned	23%
Don't know	2%
Refused	0%

75% will lynch me if somebody light a firework outside the court.

Q 57, Now if you were selected as a juror in the case involving the charges against Zacarias Moussaoui of conspiracy to commit acts of terrorism, air piracy and murder in connection to the September 11<sup>th</sup> attack, do you believe that you could be fair and impartial?

Yes	72%
Maybe / not sure	11%
No	17%
Refused	0%

The good news is that at least 17% did not lie, the bad news is that the same respondents stated in Q 47 that I am 16% definitely guilty and 46% probably guilty. Do not forget in Q 7, 94% are more likely to vote for death in case of intentional murder of US citizen. (Fair enough).



### Question 54.

If you were called to serve as a juror in the trial of Zacarias Moussaoui, how concerned, if at all, would you be for your safety serving the course of the trial?

Very concerned	28%
Somewhat concerned	40%
Not concerned	29%
Don't know	3%
Refused	0%

Therefore almost 70% of people in the jury box will be scared. (not very good to concentrate on a complex case)

### Question 55

If you were a juror in the Trial of Zacarias Moussaoui, how concerned, if at all, would you be for your safety if you voted to find guilty.

very concerned	28%
somewhat concerned	38%
Not concerned	30%
Don't know	4%
Refused	0%

Almost 70% will probably vote for death so I don't pass a secret drawing of them.

Q 11. As a result of the terrorist attack, did you, a family member or close friend take any of the ~~following~~ following actions on the day of September 11th

A. Leave the building you were in as a result of an evacuation order.

Yes	43%
No	55%
Don't know	2%
Refused	0%

D. Call someone to check on their safety or let them know of your safety?

Yes	84%
No	15%
Don't know	1%
Refused	0%

E. Receive a call checking on your safety or the safety of other.

Yes	76%
No	24%
Don't know	1%
Refuse	0%

12 - Do you, a family member or a close friend

A - know someone who was injured or killed in Sep 11 terrorist attack

8%	Yes, respondent only
32%	Yes family member / close friend
5%	Yes both respondent and family member / close friend
55%	No - None of the Above.
1.4%	Don't know.
0%	Refused

Q8 My next questions address the events concerning the terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center Twin Towers in New York and the Pentagon building. Were you in the metropolitan Washington N.C area during the day of September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001?

Yes	76%
No	24%
Don't know	0%
Refuse.	0%

Q9 Do you have any family members or close friend who were in the metropolitan Washington DC area during the day of September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001

Yes	94%
No	16%
Don't know	0%
Refused	0%

Q10 Do you know someone personally who was in New York during the day of September 11<sup>th</sup>, 2001.

Yes	91%
No	49%
Don't	0%
Refused	0%

Q 18 - Are you, a family member or a close friend employed in any capacity for any of the following businesses, agencies or industries  
C • Any branch of the US military in either civilian or enlisted capacity:

Yes	31%
No	68%
Don't know	1%
Refused	0%

F • A government defense or "intelligence" agency or department?

Yes	29%
No	71%
Don't know	0%
Refused	1%

" And those who Disbelieve are allies of one another and if you Muslim do not becomes allies To make victorious ALLAH religion, there will be Disbelieve, Polytheism, and Oppression on the earth and a great mischief and corruption "  
Coran Surah 8 Al Anfal. Ayat 73

Slave of ALLAH

أبو خالد الصوري

Zaccarias Moussaoui # Umar Omar Moussaoui Ikhme Soliman

Q 12 - B - Do you, a ~~member~~ family or close friend  
work at near the Pentagon?

21% Yes Respondent only

34% Yes Family member / close friend only

10% Yes both respondent and family member / close friend

34% No One of the above.

1% Don't know.

0% Refused.

Q 12 - D - Work at or near the Twin Tower site in N-Y City

3% Yes Respondent only

23% Yes Family member / close friend only

1% Yes Both respondent and family member / close friend

72% No, None of the above.

0% Don't know.

0% Refused.

Q 14 Have you driven by the Pentagon building  
since September 11, 2001?

Yes 80%

NO 20%

Don't know 0%

Refused 0%

The Ferry will probably drive by every day  
to come to court.